

Registry No.

G

Top Secret.  
Secret  
Confidential.  
Restricted.  
Open.

Insert Telephone No. & Ext. when appropriate.

Draft.

RC Newweeks  
D/S 52  
Metropole from  
ACC Goodson

-/32

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN IN THIS MARGIN.

I enclose a copy of ~~a letter from Tel~~ Kellie's letter 1242/164G of October 8 to me about the Canadian ISI report No 1/bt, "Possible Israeli Military Nuclear Program", of which you were good enough to let us have a copy.

2. I should be grateful for any comment you can, without a trouble, provide on this paper, which we could pass to Tel Aviv. ~~The passage of plutonium separation ~~seems to me to go further~~ <sup>contradicts</sup> than you would consider advisable, and this may be because the writer clearly did not at the time~~

~~quoting have access to the categorical U.S. statements, based on their kind inspection of Dimona, that there are no separation facilities here. On the other hand the writer also did not~~

~~have our recent information about large uranium supplies from the Argentine. We have not yet committed ourselves to the view in the fourth sentence of the summary prefixed to the paper, and I am therefore inclined to treat it with greater reserve than one Tel Aviv. What do you think?~~

26/11

CUT 26/11  
M. Melechin jot.  
This is incorrect: pp attached: especially Page A.

The writer's view is supported by

(87285) Hw.

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

Reference:-

FO 371/175844/ER 1241/32G

COPYRIGHT - NOT TO BE REPRODUCED PHOTOGRAPHICALLY WITHOUT PERMISSION

1	2	3	4	5	6

**SECRET**

*Rasp in Rep*

*ER 1241/32/4*

61

FOREIGN OFFICE, S.W.1.

November 27, 1964.

...

I enclose a copy of Kellas's letter 1242/ /64G of October 8 to me, about the Canadian DSI report Number 1/64, "Possible Israeli Military Nuclear Program", of which you were good enough to let us have a copy.

2. I should be grateful for any comment you can, without trouble, provide on this paper, which we could pass to Tel Aviv. We have not yet committed ourselves to the view in the fourth sentence of the summary prefixed to the paper. What do you think?

A. C. Goodison

R. C. Treweek, Esq.,  
DI(SI)52)  
Ministry of Defence,  
Metropole Buildings.

**SECRET**

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

Reference:-

**FO 371/175844/ER 1241/32G**

1	2	3	4	5	6
1			2		

COPYRIGHT - NOT TO BE REPRODUCED PHOTOGRAPHICALLY WITHOUT PERMISSION



SECRET

UK  
CANADIAN/EYES ONLY

*Mr. Treweek*  
*AmZ* *pa* *10*  
*10/2* *62*



From: Mr. R.C. Treweeks,  
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE STAFF

Metropole Buildings, Northumberland Avenue, LONDON W.C.2

Telephone: WHITEhall 8474, ext.

Please address any reply to  
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE  
DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE STAFF  
( SI(52) )

8th December 1964

and quote: 230/130  
D/DSTI/8/10(NU)

RECEIVED IN  
ARCHIVES  
11 DEC 1964  
ER 1241/32/G(A)

Dear Goodison

Thank you for your letter ER 1241/32/G of 27th November, 1964.

The Canadian DSI paper 1/64 is, in our opinion, extremely well organised, makes the most of the basic material available and, as far as we can see, leaves none outstanding. We agree with what is said and with the conclusions.

I hope you will find that this answers the query in para.2 of your letter. Such differences as there may be between ourselves and the Canadians would only be in shade and not in matter or conclusions and therefore are not worth dwelling upon.

Though your letter to me did not deal with any material from the report and was therefore graded SECRET we should be grateful if you would make it clear to Kellas that it (and any correspondence drawing on material from it) must, for the time being at any rate, be restricted to CANADIAN/UK EYES ONLY.

*Yours sincerely*

*R.C. Treweek*

for A.D., DI(SI)52

A.C. Goodison Esq.  
Eastern Department,  
FOREIGN OFFICE,  
London S.W.1.

CANADIAN/UK EYES ONLY.

SECRET

Reference:-		PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE					
FO 371/175844/ER 1241/32G		1	2	3	4	5	6
		1	2				
COPYRIGHT - NOT TO BE REPRODUCED PHOTOGRAPHICALLY WITHOUT PERMISSION							